arXiv:1402.5354v1 [math.SP] 21 Feb 2014

IN SEARCH FOR A PERFECT SHAPE OF POLYHEDRA: BUFFON TRANSFORMATION

V. SCHREIBER, A.P. VESELOV, AND J.P. WARD

Abstract. For an arbitrary polygon consider a new one by joining the centres of consecutive edges. Iteration of this procedure leads to a shape which is affine equivalent to a regular polygon. This regularisation effect is usually ascribed to Count Buffon (1707-1788). We discuss a natural analogue of this procedure for 3-dimensional polyhedra, which leads to a new notion of affine B-regular polyhedra. The main result is the proof of existence of star-shaped affine B-regular polyhedra with prescribed combinatorial structure, under partial symmetry and simpliciality assumptions. The proof is based on deep results from spectral graph theory due to Colin de Verdière and Lovász.

1. Introduction

According to David Wells [26] the following puzzle first appeared in Edward Riddle's edition (1840) of the *Recreations in Mathematics and Natural Philosophy* of Jacques Ozanam, where it was attributed to Count Buffon (1707–1788), a French naturalist and the translator of Newton's Principia.

Consider an arbitrary polygon. Generate a second polygon by joining the centres of consecutive edges. Repeat this construction (see Fig. 1).

It is easy to see that the process converges to a point - the centroid of the original vertices (and therefore the centroid of the vertices of any polygon in the sequence). Buffon observed a remarkable *regularization effect* of this procedure: the limiting shape of the polygon is *affine regular*. Here a polygon is called affine regular if it is affine equivalent to a regular polygon.

In fact such a similar phenomenon was already observed since Roman times. When creating mosaics Roman craftsmen achieved more regular pieces by breaking the corner, so effectively using the same procedure [21]. The explanation is based on simple arguments from linear algebra, see e.g. [1, 28] and next section.

In this paper we will study the following natural 3-dimensional version of this procedure [25]. Let P be a simplicial polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$, having all faces triangular. Define its *Buffon transformation* $B(P)$ as the simplicial polyhedron with vertices $B(v)$, where for each vertex v of P the new vertex $B(v)$ is defined as the centroid of



Figure 1.

the centroids of all edges meeting at v. The question is what is the limiting shape of $B^n(P)$ as n goes to infinity.

Unfortunately, the answer in general is disappointing: the limiting shape will be one-dimensional. Indeed the same arguments from linear algebra show that this shape is determined by the subdominant eigenspace of the corresponding operator on the graph $\Gamma(P)$, which is the 1-skeleton of P (see the details below), and this eigenspace generically has dimension 1. This means that in order to have a sensible limiting shape we need to add some assumptions on the initial polyhedron P.

Let G be one of the symmetry groups $G = T, O, I$ of the Platonic solids: tetrahedron, octahedron/cube, icosahedron/dodecahedron respectively. Assume that the combinatorial structure of the initial polyhedron P is G-invariant, which means that G faithfully acts on the graph $\Gamma(P)$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let P be a simplicial polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with G-invariant combinatorial structure of a convex polyhedron. Then for a generic P the limiting shape obtained by repeatedly applying Buffon procedure to P is a star-shaped polyhedron P_B which is combinatorially equivalent to P.*

Recall that the polyhedron P is called *star-shaped* (not to be mixed with star polyhedra like Kepler-Poinsot) if there is a point inside it from which one can see the whole boundary of P, or equivalently, the central projection gives a homeomorphism of the boundary of P onto a sphere. The precise meaning of the term "generic" will be clear from the next section.

Let us call polyhedron P *affine B-regular* if $B(P)$ is affine equivalent to P. In dimension 2 this is equivalent to affine regularity (see next section). Thus the Buffon procedure produces affine B-regular version P_B from a generic polyhedron P with the above properties. As far as we know the notion of the affine regularity for polyhedra with non-regular combinatorial structures was not discussed in the literature before.

For a generic polyhedron P with combinatorial structure of a Platonic solid the corresponding polyhedron P_B is affine regular, which means that it is affine equivalent to the corresponding Platonic solid. For the Archimedean solids, however, this is no longer true (see the example of truncated cube in the Appendix).

Note that there are plenty of polyhedra P with G-invariant combinatorial structures, which can be constructed from the Platonic solids using Conway operations [3]. In particular, one will have a simplicial polyhedron by applying to any such P the operation, which Conway called kis and denoted by k, consisting of building the pyramids on all the faces. Many examples of the corresponding combinatorial types can be found in chemistry and physics literature in relation with the famous Thomson problem, see e.g. [4].

The proof of the existence of star-shaped affine B-regular version of P is based on the deep results from the spectral theory on graphs due to Colin de Verdière [2] and Lovász et al [10, 15, 16]. Both assumptions of the theorem, namely simpliciality and platonic symmetry, are essential.

For non-simplicial polyhedra the Buffon transformation usually breaks the faces, which in general are not recovering even in the limit (see Fig.16 in Appendix B).

The platonic symmetry keeps the limiting shape 3-dimensional, preventing collapse to lower dimension. The dihedral symmetry is not enough: one can check



FIGURE 2. Triakis tetrahedron and its affine B-regular realisation (right)

that a polyhedron with prismatic combinatorial structure will collapse to the corresponding affine regular polygon.

The star-shape property of the limiting shape is the strongest we can claim since a convex version may not exist as the following example of the triakis tetrahedron (with pyramids built on each face of the tetrahedron) shows (see Fig. 2).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we start with the (well-known) solution of the Buffon puzzle for polygons to explain the main ideas and relation to linear algebra. Then, in Section 3, we define the Buffon transformation for polyhedra and review the classical Steinitz theorem which gives graph-theoretical characterisation of 1-skeletons of convex polyhedra. In section 4 we introduce the main tools from spectral graph theory: the Colin de Verdière invariant and null space realisation for polyhedral graphs studied by Lovász et al. In section 5 we use them and representation theory of finite groups to prove our main result. In Appendix A we present the character tables for the polyhedral groups and the corresponding decomposition of the space of functions on the vertices of Platonic solids into irreducible components. In Appendix B we give the spectra of the Buffon operators for some combinatorial types and the corresponding shapes of affine B-regular polyhedra.

2. Buffon transformation for polygons.

Consider an arbitrary n-gon P with vertices described by the column vector

$$r = [r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n]\,, \quad r_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

(and an integer $n \geq 3$). Generate a second polygon $P^{'}$ by joining the centres of the consecutive edges of P. The corresponding transformation acts on the vertices of P as follows:

$$r_i^{'} = \frac{1}{2}(r_i + r_{i+1}).$$

In matrix form this can be described as

$$r^{'} = Br$$

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

After k transformations we obtain a polygon with the vertices

$$r^k = B^k r.$$

Following Buffon we claim that for generic initial polygons P the limiting shape of the polygons P^k as k increases becomes affine regular. Recall that a polygon is *affine regular* if it is affine equivalent to a regular polygon.

To prove this we use the following result from Linear Algebra (see e.g. Theorems 5.1.1, 5.1.2 in [27]).

Theorem 2. (Subspace Iteration Theorem) *Let A be a real matrix and let $Spec(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ be the set of its eigenvalues (in general, complex and with multiplicities) ordered in such a way that*

$$|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = \dots = |\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|.$$

Let W and W' be the dominant and complementary invariant subspaces associated with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ respectively and $m = \dim W$. Then for any m-dimensional subspace $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U \cap W' = \{0\}$ the image of U under the iterations of A

$$A^n(U) \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} W$$

tends to the dominant subspace in the Grassmannian $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To apply this to our case first note that

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(I + T),$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

has the property $T^n = I$ and the eigenvalues being n-th roots of unity. The spectrum of B is therefore

$$Spec(B) = \{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_j,\ \varepsilon_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n} j}, j = 0, 1, \dots n-1\}.$$

The eigenvalues of maximum modulus, other than $\lambda_0 = 1$, are $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ and its complex conjugate $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}} = \overline{\lambda_1}$.

The dominant subspace W in this case corresponds to $\lambda_0 = 1$ and is generated by the corresponding eigenvector $v_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$:

$$W = \{(r, r, \dots, r)\}.$$

The previous result can be interpreted that as n increases $B^n(P)$ converges to a point. To see the limiting shape we should look at the *subdominant invariant* subspace corresponding to λ_1 and λ_2.

Geometrically one can do this by assuming that the centroid of the vertices is at the origin (*centre of mass condition*). This means that we restrict the action of B on the invariant subspace

$$V_C = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) : r_1 + \cdots + r_n = 0.\}$$

This eliminates the eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 1$ and the new dominant subspace W corresponding to $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, $\lambda_2 = \overline{\lambda_1}$ is precisely the one describing the limiting shape. One can easily check that

$$W =< \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon^2 \\ . \\ . \\ \varepsilon^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\varepsilon} \\ \overline{\varepsilon^2} \\ . \\ . \\ \overline{\varepsilon^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} >= \{a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ cos\frac{2\pi}{n} \\ cos\frac{4\pi}{n} \\ . \\ . \\ . \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ sin\frac{2\pi}{n} \\ sin\frac{4\pi}{n} \\ . \\ . \\ . \end{pmatrix}\}$$

Choosing a and b to be orthogonal unit vectors we see that the corresponding vertices form a regular polygon. In general, the dominant subspace W describes all affine regular polygons. The other eigenspaces correspond to the affine regular "polygrams".

For example, when $n = 5$ we have the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}},\ \lambda_2 = \overline{\lambda_1},\ \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}},\ \lambda_4 = \overline{\lambda_3}$$

and the corresponding eigenspaces

$$W = \{a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ cos\frac{2\pi}{5} \\ cos\frac{4\pi}{5} \\ cos\frac{6\pi}{5} \\ cos\frac{8\pi}{5} \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ sin\frac{2\pi}{5} \\ sin\frac{4\pi}{5} \\ sin\frac{6\pi}{5} \\ sin\frac{8\pi}{5} \end{pmatrix}\}, \qquad W' = \{a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ cos\frac{4\pi}{5} \\ cos\frac{8\pi}{5} \\ cos\frac{2\pi}{5} \\ cos\frac{6\pi}{5} \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ sin\frac{4\pi}{5} \\ sin\frac{8\pi}{5} \\ sin\frac{2\pi}{5} \\ sin\frac{6\pi}{5} \end{pmatrix}\}$$

describing the affine regular pentagons and pentagrams respectively:



FIGURE 3. Regular pentagon and pentagram.

3. Buffon transformation for polyhedra

Recall first some basic notions of graph theory and the relation with polyhedra.

A *graph* $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ consists of a finite set $\mathcal{V}$ (vertices), together with a subset $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ (edges). We will assume that the graph has no loops $[i, i],\ i \in \mathcal{V}$ and is *undirected* which means that for each edge $[i, j] \in \mathcal{E}$ we also have $[j, i] \in \mathcal{E}$.

We say that the vertices i and j are *adjacent* and write $i \sim j$ if there is an edge $[i, j] \in \mathcal{E}$ connecting them. The *degree* d_i of a vertex i is the number of the adjacent vertices.

A graph is *connected* when there is a path between any two vertices. A graph is called *3-connected* if for every pair of vertices i and j there are at least three paths from i to j, whose only vertices (or edges) in common are i and j.

A graph is called *planar* if an isomorphic copy of the graph can be drawn in a plane, so that the edges which join the vertices only meet (intersect) at vertices.

For every polyhedron P one can consider the *1-skeleton* $\Gamma(P)$, which is the graph formed by the vertices and edges of P.

One of the oldest results in polytope theory is a remarkable theorem by Ernst Steinitz. It is often referred to as the Steinitz' *fundamental theorem of convex polyhedra* and gives a completely combinatorial characterization of the graphs Γ, which can be realised as 1-skeletons of 3-dimensional polytopes (see [7, 30]).

Theorem 3. (Steinitz, 1922) *A graph* Γ *is isomorphic to the 1-skeleton of a 3-dimensional convex polyhedron* P *if and only if* Γ *is planar and 3-connected.*

The proof given by Steinitz uses a combinatorial reduction technique. A sequence of transformations of Γ into simpler graphs lead to the tetrahedral graph K_4. Reversing the order of these operations one obtains a polyhedral realization of the original graph Γ.

A graph is called *regular* when every graph vertex has the same degree.

Let P be a simplicial polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with vertices $r_1, \dots, r_n$. Define the *Buffon transformation* $B(P)$ as a new polyhedron with the vertices being the centroids of all edges, which meet at a vertex [25, 29]:

$$B(r_i) = \sum_{j \sim i} \frac{1}{2d_i}(r_i + r_j), \tag{1}$$

where d_i is the degree of the vertex r_i.

Consider also the linear *Buffon operator* $B : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})$, where $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})$ is the vector space of functions on the vertices of the graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(P)$, defined by the same formula:

$$B(f)(i) = \sum_{j \sim i} \frac{1}{2d_i}(f(i) + f(j)),\ f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}). \tag{2}$$

Remark. One can define the Buffon transformation B_F by taking the centroids of the centroids of all the faces meeting at a vertex [25, 29], but for simplicial polyhedra P we have a simple relation for the corresponding operators

$$B_F = \frac{4}{3}B - \frac{1}{3}I,$$

which means that the result of the Buffon procedure on faces will be the same as the one on edges.

The matrix of the Buffon transformation in a natural basis in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})$ has the form

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(I + D^{-1}A) = \frac{1}{2}(I + P), \tag{3}$$

where A is the *adjacency matrix*: $A_{ij} = 1$ if i is adjacent to j and 0 otherwise, D the diagonal matrix with the degrees of vertices d_i on the diagonal, and P is the matrix of *transition probabilities* of the Markov chain describing the random walk on graph Γ : $P_{ij} = 1/d_j$ when j is adjacent to i and 0 otherwise (see [17]).

Note that unless Γ is a regular graph, matrix B is not symmetric. In order to bring it to a symmetric form we introduce the *normalized adjacency matrix*

$$N = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4}$$

with matrix elements $N_{ij} = 1/\sqrt{d_i d_j}$ if i is adjacent to j and 0 otherwise. It is easy to see that

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(I + D^{-\frac{1}{2}}ND^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{2}D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I + N)D^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so B is conjugated to the symmetric matrix $\tilde{B} = 1/2(I + N)$.

In particular, this means that all the eigenvalues of B are real. The maximal eigenvalue is $\lambda_0 = 1$ and the corresponding eigenvector is $(1, \dots, 1)^T$.

Now we ask the same question: what is the limiting shape of $B^n(P)$ when n goes to infinity ?

By the same arguments using the Subspace Iteration Theorem the answer is given by the subdominant eigenspace of the corresponding Buffon operator B. In general it is one-dimensional, which means that the limiting shape is one-dimensional. However, if we assume additional symmetry we have a three-dimensional limiting shape. To see this we need some results from spectral graph theory, which we present in the next section.

4. Colin de Verdière invariant and null space representation

In 1990 Yves Colin de Verdière [2] introduced a new spectral graph invariant $\mu(\Gamma)$. Roughly speaking, $\mu(\Gamma)$ is the maximal multiplicity of the second largest eigenvalue of the matrices C with the property $C_{ij} = C_{ji} > 0$ for adjacent i and j, $C_{ij} = 0$ for non-adjacent i and j and arbitrary diagonal elements C_{ii}. The precise definition is as follows.

Let Γ be a connected undirected graph with the vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ denote the set of symmetric matrices $M = (M_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ associated with Γ satisfying

(1) $M_{ij} \begin{cases} < 0, & ij \in E \\ = 0, & ij \notin E \end{cases}$;
(2) M has exactly one (simple) negative eigenvalue.

M is said to satisfy the *Strong Arnold Property* if the relation $MX = 0$ with a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix X such that $X_{ij} = 0$ for any adjacent i and j and for $i = j$ implies that $X = 0$. This property is a restriction, which excludes some degenerate choices of the edge weights and the diagonal entries.

The *Colin de Verdière invariant* $\mu(\Gamma)$ is the largest corank of matrices from the set $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ satisfying the Strong Arnold Property. A matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ with corank $\mu(\Gamma)$ is called a *Colin de Verdière matrix* of Γ.

After the change of sign and shift by a scalar matrix $C = cI - M$ the corank, which is the dimension of the null space of M becomes the multiplicity of the second largest eigenvalue of C..

Colin de Verdière characterised all the graphs with parameter $\mu(\Gamma) \leq 3$.

A graph is called *outerplanar* if it can be drawn in the plane without crossings in such a way that all of the vertices belong to the unbounded face of the drawing.

Theorem 4. (Colin de Verdière, 1990)

- $\mu(\Gamma) \leq 1$ *if and only if* Γ *is a path;*
- $\mu(\Gamma) \leq 2$ *if and only if* Γ *is outerplanar;*
- $\mu(\Gamma) \leq 3$ *if and only if* Γ *is planar.*

The planarity characterization is a remarkable result, which will be important for us. The "only if" part is relatively simple and follows from Kuratowski's characterisation of the planar graphs [8]. The original proof of the "if" part was quite involved. Van der Holst [9] substantially simplified it and showed that for 3-connected planar graphs the Strong Arnold property does not play any role.

Corollary 1. (Van der Holst, 1995) *For any matrix M from $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ the corank of M can not be larger than 3.*

In [15] Lovász found an explicit way of constructing the Colin de Verdière matrix for any 3-connected planar graph Γ using the Steinitz' realisation of Γ as a 1-skeleton of a convex polyhedron P. This result will be crucial for us, so we will sketch here the main steps of his construction following [15].

Recall first the notion of polarity for polyhedra in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see e.g. [30]. Let P be any convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^3$, containing the origin in its interior. The *polar polyhedron* P^* is defined as

$$P^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (y, x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in P\},$$

where $(,)$ denote the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is known that P^* is also a convex polyhedron and the 1-skeleton of P^* is the planar dual graph $\Gamma^* = (\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{E}^*)$ with vertices corresponding to the faces of P and edges corresponding to edges of P [30].

Now let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be Steinitz' realisation of graph Γ, so that Γ is isomorphic to 1-skeleton $\Gamma(P)$. We can always assume that P contains the origin inside it. Consider its polar polyhedron P^*

Let u_i and u_j be two adjacent vertices of P, and w_f and w_g be the endpoints of the corresponding edge of P^*. Then by the definition of polarity we have

$$(w_f, u_i) = (w_g, u_i) = 1.$$

This implies that $w_f - w_g$ is perpendicular to u_i, and similarly to u_j. Hence the vectors $w_f - w_g$ and the cross-product $u_i \times u_j$ are parallel and we can find the coefficients M_{ij} such that

$$w_f - w_g = M_{ij}(u_i \times u_j).$$

We can always choose the labelling of w_f and w_g in such a way that $M_{ij} < 0$.

This defines M_{ij} for adjacent $i \neq j$. For non-adjacent i and j we define M_{ij} to be zero. To define M_{ii} consider the vector

$$u_i^{'} = \sum_{j \sim i} M_{ij} u_j.$$

Then

$$u_i \times u_i^{'} = \sum_{j \ simi} M_{ij} u_i \times u_i^{'} = \sum (w_f - w_g),$$

where the last sum is taken over all edges fg of the face of P^* corresponding to i, oriented counterclockwise. Since this sum is zero we have

$$u_i \times u_i^{'} = 0,$$

which means that u_i and $u_i^{'}$ are parallel. Therefore we can define M_{ii} by the relation

$$u_i^{'} = -M_{ii} u_i.$$

Theorem 5. (Lovász, 2000) *The matrix M described above is a Colin de Verdière matrix for the graph Γ.*

Indeed by construction M has the right pattern of zeros and negative elements. The condition $u_i^{'} = -M_{ii} u_i$ can be written in the following form

$$\sum_j M_{ij} u_j = 0.$$

This means that each coordinate of the u_i defines a vector in the kernel of M and hence M has corank at least 3. But by Corollary 1 it can not be larger than 3, so the corank is 3 and thus maximal.

To prove that M has exactly one negative eigenvalue one can use the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem, see e.g. [6].

Theorem 6. (Perron-Frobenius, 1912) *If a real matrix has non-negative entries then it has a nonnegative real eigenvalue λ which has maximum absolute value among all eigenvalues. This eigenvalue λ has a real eigenvector with non-negative coordinates. If the matrix is irreducible, then λ has multiplicity 1 and the corresponding eigenvector can be chosen to be positive.*

Choosing sufficiently large $c > 0$ we have the matrix $cI - M$, which has non-negative entries and irreducible, so we can apply the Perron-Frobenius Theorem to conclude that the smallest eigenvalue of M has multiplicity 1. It must be negative since we know that the eigenvalue 0 has multiplicity at least 3. The fact that there are no more negative multiplicities requires considerable work using the connectivity of the space of Steinitz' realisations, see [15].

Conversely, having a Colin de Verdière matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ one can consider the following *null space representation* $\nu : \mathcal{V} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ (see [16]).

Choose a basis a_1, a_2, a_3 in the kernel of M and consider a $3 \times n$ matrix X with rows being the coordinates of a_1, a_2, a_3. Then the columns u_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ of this matrix give the set of 3-vectors, defining the map ν. The problem is that in general they will not be vertices of a convex polyhedron, but Lovász [15] showed that after some scaling $u_i \to \mu_i u_i$ this is the case (such a scaling he called *proper*). At the level of the Colin de Verdière matrices this corresponds to the change $M \to DMD$, where $D = diag\,(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ is a non-degenerate diagonal matrix, which obviously preserves the properties of $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$.

Theorem 7. (Lovász, 2000) *For a 3-connected planar graph Γ any Colin de Verdière matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ can be properly scaled, so that null space representation gives a convex polyhedron with 1-skeleton isomorphic to Γ.*

Note that the change of basis in the kernel of M corresponds to a linear transformation of $\mathbb{R}^3$, so the corresponding polyhedron is defined only modulo affine transformation.

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

5. Proof of the main theorem

Let G be a Platonic group and Γ a G-invariant planar 3-connected graph.

We know after Steinitz that Γ can be realized by a 3-dimensional convex polyhedron P, but in the presence of symmetry Mani [18] showed that there is a symmetric realisation $P_G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem 8. (Mani, 1971) *There exists a convex polyhedron $P_G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the group of isometries isomorphic to G and with 1-skeleton isomorphic to Γ.*

Since Γ is planar and 3-connected, its Colin de Verdière invariant $\mu(\Gamma)$ must be 3. Let M be the Colin de Verdière matrix given by Lovász construction applied to Mani's version of Steinitz realisation P_G.

Let N be the normalised adjacency matrix (4). We know that the matrix of the Buffon transformation B is related to N by

$$B = \frac{1}{2} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} (I + N) D^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and that its largest eigenvalue is $\lambda_0 = 1$. Let λ_1 be the second largest eigenvalue of B. We would like to show that it has multiplicity 3.

To do this consider the symmetric matrix

$$\widehat{B} = -\frac{1}{2} N + (\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}) I. \tag{5}$$

It is easy to see that $\widehat{B} \in \mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ and that the corank of $\widehat{B}$ is precisely the multiplicity of λ_1.

Define a parameter family of matrices

$$M_t = (1-t)M - t\widehat{B}, \quad t \in [0,1] \tag{6}$$

where M is the Colin de Verdière matrix defined above.

Since M_t is G-invariant, the group G acts on the kernel of M_t. When $t = 0$ we know that the kernel of $M(0) = M$ has dimension 3 and by Lovász result [15] the corresponding representation of G is standard geometric by the isometries of P_G.

Since this representation is irreducible and the set of 3-dimensional representations of G is discrete, by continuity arguments the kernel will remain 3-dimensional geometric representation for all $t \in [0,1]$, in particular for $t = 1$.

These arguments will not work only if 0 collides with another eigenvalue. But this could not happen with the negative eigenvalue because of the Perron-Frobenius theorem. In particular, all matrices M_t belong to $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$. If this happens with a positive eigenvalue we will have the corank of the corresponding M_t to be at least 4, which contradicts to the Colin de Verdière result.

Thus we have proved that the kernel of $M_1 = -\widehat{B}$ is 3-dimensional, and hence the same is true for the subdominant eigenspace of the Buffon operator B. The limiting shape is given essentially by the null space representation construction, but the proper scaling may not hold. However, the very existence of a proper scaling [15, 16] and the assumption of simplicity imply that the corresponding vectors u_i are the vertices of a certain star-shaped polyhedron with 1-skeleton isomorphic to Γ.

The triakis example on Fig.1 shows that the proper scaling is indeed not automatic, so the convexity property does not necessary hold.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *For any G-invariant polyhedral graph Γ the modified Buffon matrix (5) is a Colin de Verdière matrix for Γ.*

Thus in the presence of platonic symmetry we have a pure combinatorial way to determine a Colin de Verdière matrix for a polyhedral graph Γ, which otherwise is highly non-trivial question.

6. Concluding remarks.

The Buffon regularisation procedure can be interpreted as search of an ideal shape of a given polyhedron and in that sense can be considered as one of the earliest examples of the trend, popular in modern differential geometry.

For manifolds this usually leads to the solutions of certain nonlinear PDEs like the mean curvature flow in the theory of minimal surfaces [11] or the celebrated Ricci flow in Thurston's geometrization programme [20]. Our case is conceptually closer to the description of the minimal submanifolds in the unit sphere using the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, see [14, 24].

The main difference with the differential case is that the generic graphs are much less regular objects than manifolds, even under our assumption of platonic symmetry. The crucial thing here is a large multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of the Buffon operator. How to guarantee this is a good question.

The symmetry assumption seems to be natural. In this relation we would like to mention an interesting result of Mowshowitz [22], who showed that if all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix A of a graph are different, then every automorphism of A has order 1 or 2. Some interesting related results for the graphs with vertex transitive group action can be found in [12]. Note that in our case the group action is far from being vertex transitive.

An interesting question concerns the decomposition of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})$ into the irreducible G-modules with respect to the Buffon spectrum. We saw that the geometric representation always appears at the subdominant level, but we do not know much about higher level. For the regular polyhedra the answer is given in Appendix A.

Finally, a natural question is what happens in higher dimension. We believe that for the simplicial polyhedra we should expect similar result if we assume the symmetry under an irreducible Coxeter group. Note that in dimension 4 we have 6 regular polyhedra with the symmetry groups $A_4 = S_5$, B_4, F_4 and H_4, while in dimension more than 4 we have only analogues of tetrahedron, cube and octahedron. A generalisation of Lovász construction to many dimensions can be found in [13].

7. Acknowledgements.

We are grateful to Jenya Ferapontov, Steven Kenny and especially to Graham Kemp for useful discussions.

References

[1] E.R. Berlekamp, E.N. Gilbert and F.W. Sinden *A Polygon Problem.* The American Mathematical Monthly, Mathematical Association of America, **72** (1965), 233–241.

[2] Y. Colin de Verdière *Sur un nouvel invariant des graphes et un critère de planarité.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 50 (1990), 11–21; [English transl.] *On a new graph invariant and a criterion for planarity.* in *Graph Structure Theory* (N. Robertson, P. Seymour, Eds.), Contemporary Mathematics, pp. 137–147, American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
[3] J.H. Conway, H. Burgiel, C. Goodman-Strass *The Symmetries of Things.* A.K. Peters, Ltd, 2008.
[4] J. R. Edmundson *The arrangement of point charges with tetrahedral and octahedral symmetry on the surface of a sphere with minimum Coulombic potential energy.* Acta Cryst. (1993). A49, 648-654.
[5] W. Fulton, J. Harris *Representation Theory.* Springer, 1991.
[6] F. Gantmacher *The Theory of Matrices.* Chelsea, NY, 1977.
[7] B. Grunbaum *Graphs of polyhedra; polyhedra as graphs.* Discrete Mathematics, **307** (2007), 445-463.
[8] F. Harari *Graph Theory.* AddisonWesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
[9] H. van der Holst *A short proof of the planarity characterization of Colin de Verdière.* J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **65** (1995), 269-272.
[10] H. van der Holst, L. Lovász and A. Schrijver *The Colin de Verdière graph parameter.* In *Graph Theory and Combinatorial Biology*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. **7** (1999), 29-85.
[11] G. Huisken *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres.* J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), 237-266.
[12] I. Ivrissimtzis, N. Peyerimhoff *Spectral representations of vertex transitive graphs, Archimedean solids and finite Coxeter groups.* Groups Geom. Dyn. **7** (2013), no. 3, 591615.
[13] I. Izmestiev *The Colin de Verdière number and graphs of polytopes.* Israel J. Math. **178** (2010), 427444.
[14] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu *Foundations of differential geometry, Vol. II.* John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York-London- Sydney, 1969.
[15] L. Lovász *Steinitz representations of polyhedra and the Colin de Verdière number.* J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B, **82** (2000), 223–236.
[16] L. Lovász and A. Schrijver *On the null space of a Colin de Verdière matrix.* Symposium la Mémoire de Francois Jaeger (Grenoble, 1998). Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 3, 1017–1026.
[17] L. Lovász *Random Walks on Graphs: A Survey.* Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud, 2. Combinatorics, Paul Erdös is Eighty (Volume 2) Keszthely (Hungary), 1993, 1-46.
[18] P. Mani *Automorphismen von polyedrischen Graphen.* Mathematische Annalen, **192** (1971), 279–303.
[19] B. McConnell *Spectral realizations of graphs.* http://daylateanddollarshort.com/mathdocs /Spectral-Realizations-of-Graphs.pdf
[20] J. Morgan and G. Tian *Ricci flow and the Poincare conjecture.* Clay Mathematics Monographs, Vol. 3, 2007.
[21] MOSAIC 11, Journal of Asprom, 1984.
[22] A. Mowshowitz *The group of a graph whose adjacency matrix has all distinct eigenvalues.* In "Proof Techniques in Graph Theory" pp. 109-110, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
[23] N.C Saldanha, C. Tomei *Spectra of regular polytopes.* Discrete Comput. Geom. 7(4) (1992), 403-414.
[24] T. Takahashi *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds.* J. Math. Soc. Japan 18:4 (1966), 380-385.
[25] A.P. Veselov and J.P. Ward, unpublished (2004).
[26] D. Wells *You are a Mathematician.* London Penguin Books, 1995
[27] D. S. Watkins *The Matrix Eigenvalue Problem: GR and Krylov Subspace Methods.* SIAM, 2007.
[28] J.P. Ward *Experimental mathematics in the curriculum (part I).* Teaching Mathematics Applications (December 2006) 25 (4): 205-215.
[29] J.P. Ward *Experimental mathematics in the curriculum (part II).* Teaching Mathematics Applications (March 2007) 26 (1): 27-37.
[30] G. Ziegler *Lectures on Polyhedra.* Springer, 2007.

Appendix A. The symmetry groups of Platonic solids and their characters

The symmetry group of a regular tetrahedron is S_4 and is isomorphic to the permutation group of the vertices.

The full symmetry group of the octahedron is the same as for the cube: $G = S_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. S_4 is the rotation subgroup, which is isomorphic to the permutation group of the 4 long diagonals, and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ corresponds to the central symmetry of cube.

For the icosahedron and dodecahedron the full symmetry group is known to be $A_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $A_5 \subset S_5$ is the alternating subgroup of S_5 describing the rotational symmetry and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is again the central symmetry of the solids.

The irreducible representations of the group $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ have the the form $V_1 \otimes V_2$, where V_1 and V_2 are irreducible representations of H and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ respectively. Note that V_2 is either trivial or sign representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which we will denote respectively by 1 and ε. Thus we need only the character tables of the groups S_4 and A_5, which in the notations of Fulton and Harris [5] are given below in Tables 1 and 2.

24	1	6	8	6	3
S_4	1	(12)	(123)	(1234)	(12)(34)
U	1	1	1	1	1
U'	1	-1	1	-1	1
V	3	1	0	-1	-1
V'	3	-1	0	1	-1
W	2	0	-1	0	2

TABLE 1. The character table of S_4.

60	1	20	15	12	12
A_5	1	(123)	(12)(34)	(12345)	(21345)
U	1	1	1	1	1
V	4	1	0	-1	-1
W	5	-1	1	0	0
Y	3	0	-1	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
Z	3	0	-1	$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$

TABLE 2. The character table of A_5.

With these notations the geometric representations are: V for tetrahedral group $G = S_4$, $\varepsilon V' = V' \otimes \varepsilon$ for cube/octahedral group $G = S_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\varepsilon Y = Y \otimes \varepsilon$ for icosahedral/dodecahedral group $G = A_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The corresponding decompositions of the space of functions on the vertices into irreducible G-modules are

$$\mathcal{F}(T) = U \oplus V \tag{7}$$

for tetrahedron,

$$\mathcal{F}(O) = U \oplus \varepsilon V' \oplus W \tag{8}$$

for octahedron,

$$\mathcal{F}(C) = U \oplus \varepsilon V' \oplus V \oplus \varepsilon U' \tag{9}$$

for cube,

$$\mathcal{F}(I) = U \oplus \varepsilon Y \oplus W \oplus \varepsilon Z \tag{10}$$

for icosahedron,

$$\mathcal{F}(D) = U \oplus \varepsilon Y \oplus W \oplus \varepsilon V \oplus V \oplus \varepsilon Z \tag{11}$$

for dodecahedron.

We have ordered them according to the appearance in the spectrum of the Buffon operator. It turns out that in all these cases the spectral decomposition coincides with G-decomposition (see the examples below). Note that the first two are always trivial and geometric representations in agreement with our result.

Appendix B. Examples of Buffon realizations of polyhedra

For the polyhedra P with combinatorial structure of Platonic solids the Buffon procedure leads to the polyhedron P_B, which is affine equivalent to the regular realisation of P.

Since in the regular case the Buffon matrix B can be replaced by the adjacency matrix A the calculations are essentially the same as in [19], where one can find also the corresponding shapes. The calculation of spectra of regular polytopes can be found in [23].

We have used Mathematica to do all the calculations and pictures.

Platonic Solids

In this case we consider also the higher dimensional embeddings corresponding to other multiplicities to show the relation with G-decomposition.

The Tetrahedron



FIGURE 4. The tetrahedron and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding Buffon eigenvalues denoted with multiplicities are:

$$\left\{ 1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{9}^{(3)} \right\}$$

in agreement with the decomposition (7).
The eigenspace corresponding to $\frac{1}{9}$ is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha - \beta - \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

This corresponds to geometric representation V describing a general tetrahedron.

The Octahedron



FIGURE 5. The octahedron and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The Buffon spectrum is:

$$\left\{ 1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{2}^{(3)}, \frac{1}{4}^{(2)} \right\}$$

in agreement with the decomposition (8).
The eigenspace corresponding to the second highest eigenvalue is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Geometrically this describes an affine regular octahedron.

The Cube



FIGURE 6. The cube and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding Buffon eigenvalues are:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{2}{3}^{(3)} \frac{1}{3}^{(3)}, 0^{(1)}\right\}$$

in agreement with the decomposition (9).

The eigenspaces corresponding to $\lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ are:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ \alpha - \beta - \gamma \\ -\alpha + \beta + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \qquad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ -\alpha - \beta - \gamma \\ -\alpha - \beta - \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

They correspond to geometric $\varepsilon V'$ and V representations respectively. Geometrically, X_2 describes an affine regular cube while in X_3 the opposite vertices coalesce together giving an affine tetrahedron. Note that in the second case the faces of cube are "broken", but in the first case they are not, which is a kind of miracle since the Buffon transformation breaks them generically already at the first step.

The Icosahedron



FIGURE 7. The icosahedron and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding Buffon spectrum is:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{10}\left(5+\sqrt{5}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{2}{5}^{(5)}, \frac{1}{10}\left(5-\sqrt{5}\right)^{(3)}\right\}$$

in agreement with (10).

The eigenspaces corresponding to the second highest eigenvalue and its conjugate eigenvalue $\frac{1}{10}\left(5-\sqrt{5}\right)$ are:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a(\alpha+\gamma)-\beta \\ \beta - a(\alpha+\gamma) \\ a(\beta-\gamma)-\alpha \\ a(\gamma-\beta)+\alpha \\ -\gamma \\ a(\beta-\alpha)-\gamma \\ a(\alpha-\beta)+\gamma \\ \gamma \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} b(\alpha+\gamma)-\beta \\ \beta - b(\alpha+\gamma) \\ b(\beta-\gamma)-\alpha \\ \alpha+b(\gamma-\beta) \\ -\gamma \\ b(\beta-\alpha)-\gamma \\ b(\alpha-\beta)+\gamma \\ \gamma \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{5})$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$.

Geometrically, X_2 describes an affine regular icosahedron, while X_4 corresponds to an affine great icosahedron, which is one of four Kepler-Poinsot regular star polyhedra.



FIGURE 8. Affine B-regular icosahedron and great icosahedron.

The eigenspace X_3

$$X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta-\rho \\ -\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta-\rho \\ \rho \\ \rho \\ \gamma \\ \delta \\ \delta \\ \gamma \\ \alpha \\ \beta \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

describes the 5-dimensional realisation of an icosahedron as a 5-simplex: 6 pairs of opposite vertices identified with 6 vertices of the simplex.

The Dodecahedron



FIGURE 9. The dodecahedron and the dodecahedral 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding Buffon spectrum is

$$\left\{ 1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{6}\left(3+\sqrt{5}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{2}{3}^{(5)}, \frac{1}{2}^{(4)}, \frac{1}{6}^{(4)}, \frac{1}{6}\left(3-\sqrt{5}\right)^{(3)} \right\}$$

in agreement with (11).

The eigenspaces corresponding to the second highest eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{6}\left(3+\sqrt{5}\right)$ and to its conjugate $\lambda_6 = \frac{1}{6}\left(3-\sqrt{5}\right)$ are:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -a\gamma - 2\alpha - b\beta \\ a\gamma + 2\alpha + b\beta \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ b(\alpha+\beta) - \gamma \\ \sqrt{5}\alpha + \beta - \gamma \\ -\gamma \\ a(\beta-\alpha) - \gamma \\ a\beta - 2\alpha + b\gamma \\ b(\gamma-\alpha) - \beta \\ b(\alpha-\gamma) + \beta \\ -a\beta + 2\alpha - b\gamma \\ \beta - a(\alpha+\gamma) \\ -\sqrt{5}\alpha - \beta + \gamma \\ \gamma - b(\alpha+\beta) \\ a(\alpha+\gamma) - \beta \\ a(\alpha-\beta) + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad X_6 = \begin{pmatrix} -a\beta - 2\alpha - b\gamma \\ a\beta + 2\alpha + b\gamma \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ a(\alpha+\beta) - \gamma \\ -\sqrt{5}\alpha + \beta - \gamma \\ -\gamma \\ b(\beta-\alpha) - \gamma \\ a\gamma - 2\alpha + b\beta \\ a(\gamma-\alpha) - \beta \\ a(\alpha-\gamma) + \beta \\ -a\gamma + 2\alpha - b\beta \\ \beta - b(\alpha+\gamma) \\ \sqrt{5}\alpha - \beta + \gamma \\ \gamma - a(\alpha+\beta) \\ b(\alpha+\gamma) - \beta \\ b(\alpha-\beta) + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{5})$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$.

Geometrically, X_2 describes an affine regular dodecahedron, while X_6 corresponds to an affine version of the great stellated dodecahedron, which is another Kepler-Poinsot polyhedron (see Fig. 7).

It is interesting that the remaining two Kepler-Poinsot polyhedra (small stellated dodecahedron and great dodecahedron) do not appear in this way.



FIGURE 10. Affine great stellated dodecahedron.

The eigenspace X_3 corresponds to the 5-dimensional embedding of dodecahedron with "broken faces" given by

$$X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma-\delta+\rho \\ -\gamma-\delta+\rho \\ \alpha \\ \beta \\ -\alpha+\beta-\delta \\ \alpha-\beta-\gamma \\ \gamma \\ \delta \\ -\beta+\delta-\rho \\ -\alpha+\gamma-\rho \\ -\alpha+\gamma-\rho \\ -\beta+\delta-\rho \\ \rho \\ \alpha-\beta-\gamma \\ -\alpha+\beta-\delta \\ \rho \\ \delta \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

The 4-dimensional eigenspaces X_4 and X_5 are respectively:

$$X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma+\delta \\ -\gamma-\delta \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ \alpha-\delta \\ \beta-\gamma \\ -\gamma \\ -\delta \\ \alpha-\gamma-\delta \\ \beta-\gamma-\delta \\ -\beta+\gamma+\delta \\ -\alpha+\gamma+\delta \\ -\alpha-\beta+\gamma+\delta \\ \gamma-\beta \\ \delta-\alpha \\ \alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta \\ \delta \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha+2\beta+\gamma+\delta \\ 2\alpha+2\beta+\gamma+\delta \\ \alpha \\ \beta \\ -\alpha-2\beta-\delta \\ -2\alpha-\beta-\gamma \\ \gamma \\ \delta \\ \alpha+\gamma-\delta \\ \beta-\gamma+\delta \\ \beta-\gamma+\delta \\ \alpha+\gamma-\delta \\ -\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta \\ -2\alpha-\beta-\gamma \\ -\alpha-2\beta-\delta \\ -\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta \\ \delta \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since in the second case the opposite vertices are identified it corresponds to the representation V in agreement with (11).

Archimedean Solids

The Archimedean solids, also referred to as the semi-regular polyhedra, are the convex polyhedra which have a similar arrangement of nonintersecting regular convex polygons of two or more different types arranged in the same way about each vertex with all sides the same length. Solids with a dihedral group of symmetries (e.g., regular prisms and antiprisms) are not considered to be Archimedean solids. With this restriction there are 13 solids classified as Archimedean solids.

For Archimedean solids the affine B-regular version is in general different from the affine regular one as the following example shows.

The Truncated Cube



FIGURE 11. The truncated cube and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding Buffon spectrum is:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{12}\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{5}{6}^{(3)}, \frac{2}{3}^{(1)}, \frac{1}{2}^{(5)}, \frac{1}{3}^{(3)}, \frac{1}{12}\left(7-\sqrt{17}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{1}{6}^{(5)}\right\}$$

The eigenspace corresponding to the second highest eigenvalue is:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(\alpha+2\gamma)+\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\beta\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(3\beta+2\gamma)-\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\alpha\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\alpha+\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\beta+2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(-\sqrt{17}\alpha+\alpha+3\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)\beta+2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)\alpha-3\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)\beta-2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(-\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\alpha-\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\beta-2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\alpha-\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(3\beta+2\gamma)\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left((1-\sqrt{17})\alpha-\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\beta-2\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(3\alpha-2\gamma)-\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\beta\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\alpha+\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(\beta-2\gamma)\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(-\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\alpha-\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\beta+2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(-3\alpha+\beta)+2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ \alpha-\beta-\gamma \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(3\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)\alpha+(1-\sqrt{17})\beta-2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\alpha+\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\beta-2\left(\sqrt{17}-5\right)\gamma\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(-\left(\sqrt{17}-9\right)\alpha-\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(\beta-2\gamma)\right) \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(\left(\sqrt{17}-1\right)(-3\alpha+2\gamma)+\left(7+\sqrt{17}\right)\beta\right) \\ -\alpha+\beta+\gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

The facing octagons of the geometric realisation of X_2 are not affine regular: one can check that $(x_{22}-x_{14}) = \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{4}(x_1-x_5)$ while for the regular octagon $(x_{22}-x_{14}) = (1+\sqrt{2})(x_1-x_5)$. Thus the affine B-regular truncated cube obtained by the Buffon procedure is not an affine version of the regular truncated cube.



FIGURE 12. The affine B-regular truncated cube

The Icosidodecahedron



FIGURE 13. The icosidodecahedron and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

Let A denote the adjacency matrix of the 1-skeleton graph and I_{30} the n-dimensional identity matrix. Then the Buffon transformation matrix for the icosidodecahedron has the form:

$$B = \frac{1}{8}A + \frac{1}{2}I_{30}$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{8}\left(5+\sqrt{5}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{3}{4}^{(5)}, \frac{5}{8}^{(4)}, \frac{3}{8}^{(4)}, \frac{1}{8}\left(5-\sqrt{5}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{1}{4}^{(10)}\right\}$$

The eigenspace corresponding to the second highest eigenvalue is

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta-\alpha \\ \alpha-\beta \\ -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ a(\alpha-\beta)-\gamma \\ -a\gamma+\alpha-\beta \\ a(\alpha-\beta-\gamma) \\ -a(\beta+\gamma) \\ a(-\beta-\gamma)+\alpha-\beta \\ a(-\alpha+\beta+\gamma)+\beta+\gamma \\ a(-\alpha+\beta+\gamma) \\ a\gamma-\alpha+\beta \\ a(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)-\beta-\gamma \\ -a\gamma-\beta \\ a(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)-\beta \\ -a\beta-\gamma \\ a(-\alpha+\beta+\gamma)+\beta \\ a\gamma+\beta \\ a(-\alpha+2\beta+\gamma)+\gamma \\ a(\beta+\gamma)-\alpha+\beta+\gamma \\ a(-\beta-\gamma)+\alpha-\beta-\gamma \\ a(\alpha-2\beta-\gamma)-\gamma \\ a\beta+\gamma \\ a(\beta+\gamma)-\alpha+\beta \\ a(\beta+\gamma) \\ a(\beta-\alpha)+\gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$.

A direct computation shows that in this case the B-affine version coincides with the usual affine regular icosidodecahedron.

Catalan Solids

The 13 duals of the Archimedean solids are called the Catalan solids after the Belgian mathematician E. Catalan who described them first in 1865. The dual polyhedra is defined through polar reciprocation. The dual of an Archimedean solid can, for example, be obtained by connecting the points of the vertex figure, and constructing the polygon tangent to the circumcircle of the vertex figure. The Catalan solids are convex polyhedra with the following characteristics. The faces of a Catalan solid are not regular, but each vertex figure has only one type of face. The vertex figures are regular but not congruent. Each Catalan solid has one dihedral angle, but not the same number of edges meeting at each vertex.

For Catalan solids the affine B-regular versions may not be convex or, in non-simplicial case, may even not exist, as the following two examples show.

Triakis Tetrahedron



The corresponding Buffon eigenvalues are:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{7}{12}^{(3)} \frac{1}{3}^{(3)}, \frac{1}{4}^{(1)}\right\}$$

The eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_2 = \frac{7}{12}$ and to $\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ are:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{2} - \beta - \gamma \\ -\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma \\ -\frac{\alpha}{2} \\ -2\beta \\ -2\gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha - \beta - \gamma \\ -\alpha - \beta - \gamma \\ \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

A particular geometric realisation derived from X_2 is shown in Figure 12:



FIGURE 14. The affine B-regular version of the triakis tetrahedron is star-shaped but not convex.

As X_3 shows the vertices coalesce together pairwise. The corresponding geometrical realisation gives a general tetrahedron.

The Rhombic Dodecahedron



FIGURE 15. The rhombic dodecahedron and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding eigenvalues are:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{6}\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{1}{2}^{(6)}, \frac{1}{6}\left(3-\sqrt{3}\right)^{(3)}, 0^{(1)}\right\}$$

The 3-dimensional eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{6}\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right)$ and $\lambda_4 = \frac{1}{6}\left(3-\sqrt{3}\right)$ are:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ \alpha - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} \\ \gamma - \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \beta - \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} \\ \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} - \beta \\ \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} - \gamma \\ -\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ \alpha + \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} \\ \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} + \gamma \\ \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} + \beta \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} \\ -\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} - \beta \\ -\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}} - \gamma \\ -\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\gamma}{2} \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Both eigenspaces, X_2 and X_4, fail to correspond to a polyhedron with the combinatorial structure of the 1-skeleton of the rhombic dodecahedron. A particular graph realisation obtained from the eigenspace X_2 is shown in Fig. 16.



FIGURE 16. Eigenspace realisation of the rhombic dodecahedral graph derived from the eigenspace X_2. All "faces" are non-planar.

The Triakis Octahedron



FIGURE 17. The triakis octahedron and the corresponding 1-skeleton graph.

The corresponding Buffon eigenvalues are:

$$\left\{1^{(1)}, \frac{1}{12}\left(6+\sqrt{6}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{1}{2}^{(4)}, \frac{3}{8}^{(2)}, \frac{1}{12}\left(6-\sqrt{6}\right)^{(3)}, \frac{1}{4}^{(1)}\right\}$$

The 3-dimensional eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_2 and λ_5 are:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ \gamma - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha \\ \beta - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma-\beta) \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\beta-\gamma) \\ \alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\beta+\gamma) \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{6}(\beta+\gamma)-4\alpha\right) \\ 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha - \beta \\ 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha - \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ -\alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad X_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ -\gamma \\ 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha + \gamma \\ 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha + \beta \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\beta-\gamma) \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma-\beta) \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\beta+\gamma) \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(-4\alpha - \sqrt{6}(\beta+\gamma)\right) \\ -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha - \beta \\ -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha - \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \beta \\ -\alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Geometrically, X_2 corresponds to a non-convex star shaped polyhedron. The geometric realisation derived from the eigenspace X_5 is self-intersecting:



FIGURE 18. Affine B-regular triakis octahedron and the geometric realisation derived from the eigenspace X_5.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK
E-mail address: V.Schreiber@lboro.ac.uk

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK and Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, Russia
E-mail address: A.P.Veselov@lboro.ac.uk

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK
E-mail address: J.P.Ward@lboro.ac.uk